1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 7 ASIL L. HUBLEY, NO: 2:16-CV-58-RMP 8 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND v. 9 RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH SPOKANE COUNTY JAIL, ADMINISTRATION OFFICERS HS **PREJUDICE** 10 1808 and 1778, and SEXUAL ASSAULT RESPONDING OFFICER. 11 Defendants. 12 13 Magistrate Judge Mary K. Dimke filed a Report and Recommendation on 14 June 6, 2016. ECF No. 12. Judge Dimke previously found that Plaintiff's 15 16 Complaint was deficient and failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. ECF No. 7. Pursuant to that Order, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint. 17 18 ECF No. 8. Judge Dimke recommends that this Court dismiss Plaintiff's Amended 19 Complaint as Plaintiff has failed to remedy the various deficiencies. ECF No. 12. 20 Plaintiff has objected to the Report and Recommendation insofar as Judge Dimke

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND

DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE ~ 1

recommends dismissing Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim regarding foreign objects in prison food.

"[A]n Eighth Amendment violation cannot exist without a culpable state of mind on the part of the person responsible for the deprivation." *LeMaire v. Maass*, 12 F.3d 1444, 1451 (9th Cir. 1993). "The Eighth Amendment requires only that prisoners receive food that is adequate to maintain health; it need not be tasty or aesthetically pleasing." *Id.* at 1456. "The fact that the food occasionally contains foreign objects or sometimes is served cold, while unpleasant, does not amount of a constitutional deprivation." *Id.* (quoting *Hamm v. DeKalb Cty.*, 774 F.2d 686–87 (11th Cir. 1985)); *see also Lohman v. King Cty. Jail*, C13-2340-JLR-JPD, 2014 WL 4187150, at *3 (W.D. Wash. July 1, 2014) (noting that "neither isolated instances of food poisoning, temporary lapses in sanitation, nor occasional service of means contaminated with foreign objects are sufficiently serious to rise to the level of a constitutional violation").

Here, Plaintiff merely notes that he occasionally found foreign objects in his prison meals. *See* ECF No. 18. He has not attributed these instances to a specific defendant nor demonstrated that a particular defendant acted with the requisite culpable state of mind. As such, the Court overrules Plaintiff's objections and agrees with Judge Dimke that Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE ~ 3